



ARC Commodity Factor Risk Model Monthly Report March 2024

The Asset Risk Company (ARC) Commodity Model is a cross-sectional commodity factor model. The model contains 50 of the most widely traded commodity products with approximately 1,200 futures in total over all maturities. All futures in the model have exposures to sectors, sub-sectors, style and trading factors such as basis, momentum, and open interest. The model is estimated daily with 23 years of history. It provides a framework for managing risk and investment decision-making.

In this report, you will find:

- Performance of Sectors, Sub-Sectors and Style & Trading Factors
- Examples of Factor Tilted Portfolios (Low Vol, Value, Momentum, Backwardation)
- Factor Based Risk Decomposition of Popular Commodity Indexes (BCOM, GSCI)
- Inflation prediction

The ARC Commodity Model is a powerful tool to help many constituencies in the financial industry, trading, and real economy. Some of the applications of the model are very straightforward, but some uses of the model are more nuanced. We recommend this short piece that provides details on both common and novel use cases for a commodity factor model: <https://www.assetriskcompany.com/whyfactor.html>. You can access our latest research at <https://www.assetriskcompany.com/library.html>.



Sectors and Factors Performance Report:

Table 1. Sectors and Subsectors Performance

| Sectors | March. 24 | YTD | 5-year Return | 5-year Volatility |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Agriculture | 3.4% | 0.4% | 14.0% | 12.8% |
| Grain And Oilseed | 3.9% | -3.4% | 14.1% | 15.3% |
| Lumber And Pulp | -0.4% | -0.3% | 10.7% | 51.2% |
| Proteins | 0.0% | 4.1% | 9.7% | 11.2% |
| Softs | 4.3% | 7.3% | 10.9% | 14.1% |
| Energy | 3.2% | 5.0% | 2.3% | 16.0% |
| Biofuels | 3.2% | 5.0% | 14.9% | 22.4% |
| Coal | 3.2% | 5.0% | 24.7% | 25.3% |
| Crude Oil | 2.2% | 4.1% | -1.8% | 18.7% |
| Natural Gas | 3.2% | 3.3% | 2.2% | 16.5% |
| Petrochemicals | 3.2% | 5.0% | -0.1% | 18.6% |
| Refined Products | 4.5% | 9.1% | 2.7% | 20.6% |
| Metals | 5.6% | 1.7% | 9.3% | 15.8% |
| Base | 4.1% | 3.4% | 9.2% | 19.3% |
| Precious | 6.7% | 0.2% | 12.8% | 18.6% |

March saw a bounce in commodities. All 3 sectors are positive YTD. Ags positive returns are mostly driven by Softs and Proteins. Crude Oil is positive YTD (+4.1%) and Refined Products is now up 9.1%. Both Base and Precious Metals had a strong month (+4.1% and +6.7% respectively). As a reminder, ARC sectors and sub-sectors returns are not estimated using a static configuration of commodity weightings. The returns come naturally from a cross-sectional regression of the 1,200 assets in the model and therefore cover the entire term structure. For instance, Natural Gas has more than 120



maturities in the model. The model uses all of that information to derive sector and subsector returns and one can think of our sectors as risk weighted on the entire curve.

Table 2. Styles/Trading Factors Performance

| Factor | March. 24 | 2024 | 5 Year Return | 5 Year Volatility |
|-------------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Basis | 0.0% | -0.4% | -6.2% | 5.4% |
| Open Interest | -0.5% | 0.4% | 0.9% | 4.2% |
| Momentum | 1.3% | 5.4% | 1.9% | 5.9% |
| ST Momentum | 0.6% | -0.1% | -7.8% | 5.6% |
| Trading Activity | 0.1% | -0.9% | 0.0% | 2.4% |
| Volatility | 0.2% | 0.8% | 2.1% | 9.0% |
| ST Volatility | 1.0% | -0.8% | 1.2% | 8.1% |

Our Momentum factor (12 month cumulative return minus the current month's return) continues its upward trajectory (+5.4% YTD), an outlier compared to its historical returns. The factor replicating portfolios are not a practical way to trade and consist of positions (both long and short) in most of the instruments in the model's universe. We provide a much more parsimonious factor-tilted (long only) portfolio later in this analysis.

Factor Tilted Portfolios Performance Report:

As shown above there are some clear patterns emerging for the ARC Commodity Styles and Trading Factors. However in order to take advantage of these trends, ARC created long-only tilted versions. Our findings (table 3), based on 23 years of data, are:

- Low Volatility and Low Momentum (Value), Low Basis (Extreme Backwardation) are reliable and produce much better performance and risk than traditional indices
- High Momentum performed well over the last 5 years, but underperformed the other factors over a period of 23 years.



- High Basis is reliable in underperforming the indices

Table 3. Top 5 Futures Tilted Portfolios Sharpe over a period of 23 years (as of 12/31/2023)

| Sharpe | Low Vol | Low Mom | Low Basis | Hi Mom | Hi Basis |
|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|--------|----------|
| 1 yr | 2.52 | 0.45 | -0.22 | -0.32 | -1.74 |
| 3 yr | 2.23 | 1.41 | 1.25 | 1.53 | 0.85 |
| 5yr | 1.79 | 0.74 | 0.40 | 0.92 | 0.41 |
| 10 yr | 0.46 | 0.34 | 0.03 | 0.28 | -0.10 |
| 2001-2023 | 0.94 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.25 | -0.10 |

Table 5. Top 5 Futures Tilted Portfolios and BCOM Performance

| | Low Vol | Low Mom/Value | Low Basis | Hi Mom | BCOM |
|-------------|---------|---------------|-----------|--------|-------|
| March 24 | 2.8% | 0.7% | 10.4% | 13.5% | 3.3% |
| 2024 | 8.3% | -7.1% | 13.5% | 28.8% | 2.2% |
| Annualized* | 15.3% | 13.9% | 7.9% | 18.5% | 6.4% |
| Volatility* | 7.6% | 24.2% | 18.7% | 21.3% | 15.9% |

*Annualized 5 years

Table 4 shows the performance of tilted equi-weighted portfolios composed of 5 liquid futures, rebalanced once a month. High Momentum tilt portfolio had another exceptional month (the portfolio was long cocoa) and is now up 28.8% YTD. CTA overweighted (or crowded) in commodities should be doing very well so far this year. Please note that a portfolio of just 5 Low Vol Futures generates a Sharpe Ratio of 2 over 5 years! To give the reader a motivation for what types of positions these strategies require, the list below (table 5) shows the futures for our 5 component factor tilted portfolios. If you believe High Momentum will continue, we provide the list of the futures with the highest exposure. On the other hand, if you believe Momentum will reverse we publish the list of



futures with the lowest momentum exposures. We understand that practitioners do not necessarily trade all these markets, but there are currently 1,200 futures in the model you can choose from. The methodology is very straightforward with the ARC model in hand. We encourage you to reach out to use with specific parameters you might require. We can generate a theoretical portfolio for any set of constraints. Please note that these products are typically well-traded and are not close to expiry. We do this by design to mitigate the effects of thinly traded futures and to avoid all sorts of expiry plays.

Table 4: List of Futures for tilted portfolios as of 3/28/2024

| Exchange | Contract | Maturity | Factor Tilt |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| CME | Live Cattle | 20241231 | Low Vol |
| COMEX | Gold | 20240626 | Low Vol |
| CBT | Soybean | 20250314 | Low Vol |
| ICEEU | Brent | 20261102 | Low Vol |
| NYMEX | Crude Oil | 20261120 | Low Vol |
| CBT | Corn | 20240514 | Low Mom |
| CBT | KC Wheat | 20240514 | Low Mom |
| NYMEX | Natural Gas | 20240626 | Low Mom |
| CBT | Soybean Meal | 20240712 | Low Mom |
| MGE | Spring Wheat | 20240514 | Low Mom |
| ICEUS | Cocoa | 20240515 | High Mom |
| ICEUS | Sugar | 20250430 | High Mom |
| CME | Live Cattle | 20240628 | High Mom |
| ICEUS | Cotton | 20240709 | High Mom |
| CME | Lean Hogs | 20241016 | High Mom |



Commodity Indices Risk Decomposition

Energy is the largest sector in GSCI but the smallest in BCOM. Both indices have high z-scores with respect to Open Interest reflecting the fact that the indices' constituents are weighted more heavily on the front-month contract.

Table6. Factor Exposures

| Factors Exposures | BCOM | GSCI |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| Agriculture | 0.35 | 0.25 |
| Energy | 0.29 | 0.59 |
| Metals | 0.35 | 0.16 |
| Basis | -0.27 | -0.29 |
| Open Interest | 2.38 | 2.33 |
| Momentum | -0.16 | 0.30 |
| ST Momentum | -0.01 | -0.01 |
| Trading Activity | -0.86 | -1.05 |
| Volatility | 0.26 | 0.46 |
| ST Volatility | 0.04 | 0.04 |

Exposures, z-scores for BCOM and GSCI as of 3/28/2024

We use a 6 month half-life for this risk decomposition so the model is fairly reactive to market conditions. Despite different sector allocations, both indices have similar risk and exposures to styles. A portfolio that is long/short would be evaluated on the breakout between systematic exposures and whether idiosyncratic risk. Long-only managers will want to find their exposures relative to their benchmark. As shown below in the correlation tables, sector correlations with style factors are relatively small. The model is able to separate risk due to sector allocation and styles risk. All risk is not equal. Systematic risk can display non-normal behavior when compared to specific or idiosyncratic risk. Both types of risks are driven by fluctuation, but systematic risk is



driven by the “crowd” expressing some thematic bet. The systematic risk is related to market risk.

Table 7. Risk Attribution of BCOM and GSCI

| Index | BCOM | GSCI |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Total Risk | 16.1% | 17.3% |
| Agriculture | 1.0% | 0.5% |
| Energy | 1.8% | 4.8% |
| Metals | 2.2% | 0.6% |
| Basis | 0.8% | 0.8% |
| Open Interest | 8.3% | 8.1% |
| Momentum | 0.1% | 0.0% |
| ST Momentum | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Trading Activity | 1.1% | 1.4% |
| Volatility | 0.2% | 0.6% |
| ST Volatility | -0.1% | -0.1% |
| Specific Risk | 3.8% | 4.7% |

Ex-Ante Annual Volatility Decomposition for BCOM and GSCI as of 2/29/2024

Inflation:

Empirical testing finds that the ARC Commodity Model is an excellent predictor of breakout moves in the headline number, both in bouts of inflation and deflation. For March, we predict a higher CPI level, and an increase year-on-year (Inflation). Reach out to info@assetriskcompany.com for our estimate.



Factor Correlations:

Long-term correlations between sectors and style factors are very low. The model is able to separate sector allocation risk from style risk providing key insights in the real key drivers of risk and performance of a portfolio.

Table 9. Factor Correlations

| Correlations | Agriculture | Energy | Metals | Basis | Open Interest | Momentum | ST Momentum | Trading Activity | Volatility | ST Volatility |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|----------|-------------|------------------|------------|---------------|
| Agriculture | 1.00 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.03 | (0.03) | (0.09) | (0.10) | (0.01) | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| Energy | 0.11 | 1.00 | 0.23 | (0.05) | 0.29 | (0.18) | (0.16) | (0.21) | 0.01 | 0.19 |
| Metals | 0.25 | 0.07 | 1.00 | (0.24) | 0.02 | (0.04) | (0.07) | (0.02) | 0.07 | 0.12 |
| Basis | 0.00 | 0.04 | (0.30) | 1.00 | (0.24) | (0.06) | (0.10) | 0.20 | 0.01 | (0.04) |
| Open Interest | (0.00) | 0.15 | (0.08) | (0.29) | 1.00 | (0.31) | (0.06) | (0.65) | (0.24) | (0.08) |
| Momentum | (0.10) | (0.03) | (0.11) | (0.15) | (0.09) | 1.00 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.40 | (0.18) |
| ST Momentum | 0.01 | (0.32) | (0.01) | (0.23) | (0.16) | 0.26 | 1.00 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.10 |
| Trading Activity | 0.02 | (0.20) | 0.07 | 0.17 | (0.61) | 0.06 | 0.13 | 1.00 | 0.13 | 0.06 |
| Volatility | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.26 | (0.28) | 0.02 | 0.43 | (0.06) | 0.05 | 1.00 | (0.60) |
| ST Volatility | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.26 | (0.53) | (0.14) | 0.35 | 0.22 | (0.51) | 1.00 |

1 yr correlations on the right (above the diagonal), 30 days on left (below the diagonal).

Conclusion:

In this report, we have shown the factor performance driving the commodity markets. Using the ARC Commodity model, style-tilted portfolios have shown great performance and seem to be suitable benchmarks for active managers to track. We then conducted an analysis into the risk dynamics of two major commodity indices. The view of commodities as diversifiers is quite accurate. All of this was possible with the ARC model. The model enables the user to look at their book or portfolio and how it fits into their thesis as well as how it fits in the broader economic landscape.